SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 06/30/09 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Fitchburg Consortium

State: MA

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received: \$9,374,817

PJ's Size Grouping*: C

PJ Since (FY): 1993

| | | | | | Nat'l Ranking (F | Percentile):* |
|---|-----------|---------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|
| Category | PJ | State Average | State Rank | Nat'l Average | Group C | Overall |
| Program Progress: | | | PJs in State: 19 | | | |
| % of Funds Committed | 96.65 % | 94.99 % | 8 | 93.41 % | 75 | 79 |
| % of Funds Disbursed | 89.25 % | 88.48 % | 10 | 84.68 % | 61 | 66 |
| Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities | 3.92 | 7.52 | 16 | 4.72 | 50 | 44 |
| % of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments*** | 100.00 % | 92.86 % | 1 | 80.76 % | 100 | 100 |
| % of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations*** | 56.41 % | 72.26 % | 17 | 68.18 % | 25 | 24 |
| Low-Income Benefit: | | | | | | |
| % of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters | 97.30 % | 81.71 % | 1 | 80.32 % | 83 | 88 |
| % of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters*** | 72.97 % | 50.15 % | 1 | 45.16 % | 83 | 88 |
| Lease-Up: | | | | | | |
| % of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units*** | 100.00 % | 97.12 % | 1 | 95.04 % | 100 | 100 |
| Overall Ranking: | | In St | tate: 3 / 19 | Nation | nally: 87 | 89 |
| HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed | Units: | | | | | |
| Rental Unit | \$26,747 | \$26,647 | | \$26,037 | 37 Units | 20.00 |
| Homebuyer Unit | \$109,403 | \$16,054 | | \$14,755 | 33 Units | 17.80 |
| Homeowner-Rehab Unit | \$34,687 | \$14,900 | | \$20,487 | 115 Units | 62.20 |
| TBRA Unit | \$0 | \$3,709 | | \$3,225 | 0 Units | 0.00 |

^{* -} A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (55 PJs)

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (202 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (293 PJs)

^{** -} E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

^{***-} This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Fitchburg Consortium MA

Total Development Costs: (average reported cost per unit in HOME-assisted projects)

PJ: State:* National:** \$108,129 \$143,827 \$92,323

Homebuyer
29 \$180,870
27 \$100,449
23 \$73,745

\$42,406 \$22,840 \$23,292 CHDO Operating Expenses: (% of allocation)

PJ:

1.12

National Avg:

2.8 **%** 1.1 **%**

R.S. Means Cost Index:

| RACE: | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % | HOUSEHOLD TYPE: | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % |
|--|-------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|
| White: | 48.6 | 45.5 | 73.9 | 0.0 | Single/Non-Elderly: | 35.1 | 18.2 | 18.3 | 0.0 |
| Black/African American: | 16.2 | 9.1 | 6.1 | 0.0 | Elderly: | 27.0 | 0.0 | 27.0 | 0.0 |
| Asian: | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Related/Single Parent: | 21.6 | 51.5 | 18.3 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Related/Two Parent: | 16.2 | 30.3 | 33.9 | 0.0 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Other: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian and White: | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Black/African American and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and Black: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Other Multi Racial: | 2.7 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| ETHNICITY: | | | | | | | | | |
| Hispanic | 32.4 | 33.3 | 19.1 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| HOUSEHOLD SIZE: | | | | | SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL | ASSISTAN | NCE: | | |
| 1 Person: | 62.2 | 9.1 | 24.3 | 0.0 | Section 8: | 5.4 | 0.0 | | |
| 2 Persons: | 0.0 | 18.2 | 20.9 | 0.0 | HOME TBRA: | 0.0 | | | |
| 3 Persons: | 16.2 | 21.2 | 19.1 | 0.0 | Other: | 27.0 |) | | |
| 4 Persons: | 16.2 | 27.3 | 15.7 | 0.0 | No Assistance: | 67.6 | 3 | | |
| 5 Persons: | 5.4 | 15.2 | 13.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 6 Persons: | 0.0 | 9.1 | 3.5 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 7 Persons: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | | | | | |

2.6

8 or more Persons:



14

Page 2

of Section 504 Compliant Units / Completed Units Since 2001

0.0

0.0

^{*} The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state

^{**} The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

[#] Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.

HOME PROGRAM SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS

Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

| Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): | Fitchburg Consortium | State: | MA | Group Rank: | 87 |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|--------|----|--------------|----|
| | | | | (Percentile) | |

State Rank: 3 / 19 PJs Overall Rank:

Summary: 0 Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

| FACTOR | DESCRIPTION | THRESHOLD* | PJ RESULTS | RED FLAG |
|---------------|---|------------|------------|----------|
| 4 | % OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS | < 72.37% | 100 | |
| 5 | % OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS | < 49.28% | 56.41 | |
| 6 | % OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOME | < 70%** | 97.3 | |
| 8 | % OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS | < 90.81% | 100 | |
| "ALLOCATION-Y | 'EARS" NOT DISBURSED*** | > 3.070 | 1.6 | |

^{*} This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs



^{**} This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

^{***} Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.